LA PRESA PARTNERS LLC
SOPHISTICATED BOUTIQUE TAX ADVISORY

ESSAY | ART AND TRAVEL | SEPTEMBER 2026

Look Up

Airport art and the long history of art in transit

ART · TRAVEL · A FIRM NOTE WITH NOTHING TO DO WITH YOUR K-1

By Carlos Schmidt | La Presa Partners LLC | September 11, 2026

You are late, or early, or stuck. The board flickers. A child two rows over has entered negotiations with a pretzel.

Then you look up.

Museums ask you to arrive ready. Airports catch you tired, hurried, and a little raw. When a piece of art works in that setting, it has earned your attention, because it was competing with a phone, a gate change, and the pretzel. A three-year-old and a tax adviser can stand under the same copper rain and both be right about it.

Airport art belongs to a much older story. The Doge of Venice traveled on a gilded barge. Stagecoaches carried painted landscapes on their doors. Railroads commissioned pictures, ocean liners furnished floating palaces, and an airline hired Alexander Calder to paint a jet. Every generation found a reason to spend money on the journey's appearance. Each also found a reason to remove what the previous owner had admired, usually with a can of paint and a straight face.

This is a case for looking up, with an accountant's question at every stop: who paid, and what did they think they were buying?

01 A GALLERY ON THE WAY SOMEWHERE

Houston Airport System won Skytrax's 2026 award for the world's best airport art, its fourth consecutive win since the category began in 2023. Changi placed second and Denver third. Skytrax describes the art category as based on its audit analysis; the wider airport awards also draw on passenger surveys.

Houston's collection runs to nearly 450 works by local, national, and international artists, plus rotating exhibitions, artists in residence, and live music. That is a sustained cultural program operating inside a transport business. A sculpture in a departure hall can be a landmark, a local introduction, and something to discuss while the aircraft remains inexplicably at the wrong gate.

The potential audience is enormous, but a passenger movement is not a museum visit. A frequent flyer passes the same sculpture forty times a year and stops looking after the third. A connecting passenger gets counted twice and sees nothing. Throughput tells us the opportunity to be seen. It does not tell us how many people looked.

It is still an opportunity most museums would trade a wing for. And it raises a question no award table can answer: what happens when art is made for people who came to do something else?

02 THE GILDED BARGE AND THE PAINTED DOOR

Venice puts the state on display

Start where the art was the vehicle. The Bucintoro was the ceremonial barge of the Doges of Venice. Once a year, on Ascension Day, the Doge sailed out and married the city to the sea by dropping a ring into the water; the sea, as far as anyone knows, never objected. The last great barge, launched in 1729, carried a gilded superstructure, a figure of Justice, and an unmistakable message about the Republic's bank balance. Canaletto and Guardi painted it. The audience on the quay mattered as much as the passengers aboard.

Napoleonic troops stripped its ceremonial splendor in 1798; the hull survived in humbler service before it was broken up. Accounts emphasize both the recovery of precious metal and the destruction of a political symbol. We need not pick a motive to see the vulnerability. The new owner had little use for the old owner's statement of power, and the old owner's statement was covered in gold.

This was public art funded by the state, with a highly selective guest list. It is a useful place to begin a story that will end in Doha.

Concord paints a traveling advertisement

Move the money into private hands and the art shrinks to fit a door. Abbot-Downing of Concord, New Hampshire, built stagecoaches with leather suspension, bright paint, and ornamental detail. John Burgum painted landscapes on the doors; Thomas Knowlton added gold-leaf scrolls and striping.

Wells Fargo's celebrated shipment left Concord on April 15, 1868: 30 red-and-gold coaches riding on 15 railroad flatcars. An October 1867 order for ten had grown to thirty. Each coach had two painted doors, and the contemporary reporting counted sixty different pictures, not one repeated. The local reporter called them “gems of beauty.” The shipment was valued at $45,000, or $1,500 a coach, and that bought the whole vehicle, wheels included.

Notice what Wells Fargo was buying. The company's name traveled with the picture. The coach advertised its owner every time it rolled into a town, and the finish was visible evidence that somebody cared about the equipment. There were other ways to advertise, including newspapers. The painted coach had the advantage of showing up at the exact moment a customer had to decide whether to climb aboard.

That commercial logic will reappear on railway calendars and a Braniff fuselage. Art could make a transport company recognizable before a passenger had tried its service. A polite way of putting it: before the passenger knew any better.

03 THE RAILWAY HANGS A PICTURE

Railroads gave transport patrons more money, more walls, and far more passengers. Their commissions sold comfort, destinations, and a company's idea of itself. Now and then the pictures acquired a public purpose their sponsors had not planned on.

The car as palace

George Pullman's Pioneer, built in 1865, cost about $18,000 in the Pullman museum's account. The sleeping cars that followed carried elaborate woodwork, decorated ceilings, etched glass, and upholstered interiors. Pullman sold a version of hotel comfort that could cross a continent, and the surroundings were part of the product.

Europe later took the same idea to extravagant lengths. The Côte d'Azur Pullman Express, introduced in 1929, used René Lalique's glass panels and René Prou's marquetry to turn a railway carriage into a destination of its own. Surviving carriages and detached panels now lead second lives as heritage objects and collectibles.

There is a difference between paying to travel somewhere beautiful and paying to travel inside something beautiful. The luxury railway managed to charge for both. It also reminds us who usually saw the finest transport art first: the passengers who could afford the best seats.

Pictures help make the case for Yellowstone

Thomas Moran joined Ferdinand Hayden's 1871 survey of the Yellowstone region with support from the railroad financier Jay Cooke and from Scribner's. Cooke wanted pictures that would sell tourists on the West. Moran's sketches and William Henry Jackson's photographs made the landscape imaginable to people who had never seen it, which included nearly everyone.

Yellowstone became a national park on March 1, 1872. Later that year Congress bought Moran's large painting, The Grand Canyon of the Yellowstone, for $10,000 and hung it in the Capitol. The expedition images had helped make the case for preservation; the canvas gave the newly protected landscape a place where legislators would walk past it every day.

A railroad's interest in tourism and a public interest in preservation could coincide. They were never identical. The pictures helped sell a journey, and they also helped people argue that the destination deserved protecting. Commercial promotion and the case for preservation briefly pointed in the same direction. Cooke's own financing collapsed the following year, in the Panic of 1873; the park did not. The landscape was already home and a travel route for Indigenous peoples whose history there runs back more than eleven thousand years, so the founding was a beginning only from the railroad's side of the ledger. Not every marketing budget ends so well, and none ends simply.

Tickets for paintings on the Santa Fe

The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway became a collector. Its advertising department, run by William H. Simpson, acquired paintings of the Southwest, sometimes paying artists in travel and lodging rather than cash. The pictures went onto calendars, menus, depot walls, and promotional material. They sold the landscape beyond the window.

The scale outlasted the campaign. In a 2019 account, successor BNSF described roughly 700 paintings and about 1,000 objects in the collection, including prints, photographs, sculpture, and clocks. An advertising expense had turned into a serious corporate art collection. Most advertising expenses do not end that way.

The transaction deserves an accountant's glance. A railway could give away an otherwise empty seat at little incremental cost; an artist got a ticket to a landscape worth painting. That does not make the trade valueless. Under modern U.S. tax principles, barter generates taxable income even when nobody writes a check.[1] The artist's receipts and the railway's revenue, costs, and deductions would each need their own analysis. The Taos painters, happily, worked before Form 1099-B.

Frank Pick makes the platform a gallery

London solved the problem with the poster. Beginning in 1908, Frank Pick commissioned publicity for the Underground that would attract riders and make the system look like something worth riding. John Hassall's No Need to Ask a P'liceman was an early example. Edward McKnight Kauffer, Paul Nash, Man Ray, and others followed.

The tradition outran Pick's own career. The London Transport Museum's archive holds more than 5,000 poster designs, reflecting generations of work on the system's visual identity. Pick's achievement was to set an expectation of quality that survived him. That is the hardest thing any patron does.

[1] Internal Revenue Code §61 and Treasury Regulation §1.61-2(d) treat compensation paid other than in cash, including property or services received in a barter transaction, as includible in gross income at fair market value. Form 1099-B reporting of barter-exchange transactions is a modern requirement, mentioned here only for the historical contrast.

A poster could sell an off-peak excursion and still be worth keeping after the excursion ended. Underground passengers met modern design on the way to work, whether they wanted to or not. Transport art already had an audience of commuters and employees long before airports gave either one a concourse.

Cincinnati moves the gallery to the crowd

The handoff from railway to airport is literal at Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International. Winold Reiss designed industrial mosaics for Cincinnati Union Terminal in the early 1930s. They show the city's workers making things, from pianos to printing ink. CVG describes its surviving examples as roughly twenty feet square and 7.3 tons each. These were not pictures someone could put under an arm.

The industrial series comprised sixteen murals. Fourteen went to the airport in 1973 as the train concourse faced demolition; two depicting Rookwood Pottery stayed at Union Terminal. When the older airport terminals came down in turn, nine of the fourteen moved downtown to the convention center in 2016. Five remain at CVG.

STAGE	MURALS	WHAT HAPPENED
Original industrial series	16	Commissioned for Union Terminal
Transfer to the airport in 1973	14	Two Rookwood murals stayed at Union Terminal
Transfer downtown in 2016	9	Moved from the airport to the convention center
Remaining at CVG	5	Displayed in the main terminal

Exhibit 1. The Reiss mosaics' three moves. Source: CVG; Cincinnati Museum Center; Hirschl & Adler; WVXU.

The art outlived the transport buildings that first sheltered it. A railway commissioned the mosaics; the airport gave most of them a second audience; the city later brought nine of them back to a downtown street. Seven tons apiece, moved twice, and nobody ever seriously proposed the dumpster. Few examples make the continuity of this story easier to see.

04 THE FLOATING MUSEUM

Ocean liners gave shipping companies room to furnish entire worlds. Their art promised comfort and status, with the finest rooms reserved for first class. Their afterlives show what happens when the vessel becomes worth less than its own paneling.

Olympic and Titanic

White Star's Olympic and Titanic borrowed the visual language of grand houses: carved wood, elaborate staircases, stained glass, and paintings. On the first-class Grand Staircase, the carved panel known as Honour and Glory Crowning Time framed a clock. The message was reassuringly domestic. An ocean crossing could feel like a stay somewhere established and expensive.

Titanic's loss in 1912 ended that promise in catastrophe. Her sister Olympic served until 1935, when her fittings were auctioned before the breakers arrived. Some of the first-class interiors were built into the White Swan Hotel in Alnwick, Northumberland. The ship is gone; you can still order dinner among its woodwork.

Salvage is an awkward word for the preservation of beautiful things, but sometimes that is how preservation happens. The buyer wants a dining room. History gets another chance.

Normandie carries France abroad

Normandie made the national ambition explicit. French government support helped finance a liner meant to display French design and luxury abroad. Jean Dupas designed four great murals for the corners of the first-class

Grand Salon; Charles Champigneulle executed them in reverse-painted glass with metallic leaf. The surfaces turned the room into a brilliant procession of ships and sea gods.

The Metropolitan Museum's account stresses the decorative effect: passengers were buying atmosphere along with transportation. In February 1942, while the ship was being converted into a troop carrier in New York, fire destroyed her. The art and fittings removed for the conversion survived and, in time, scattered.

An ensemble of Dupas's panels entered the Met's collection in 1976. The museum now preserves part of a room designed to impress people crossing the Atlantic. The work changed owners, setting, and purpose. Calling the liner a floating museum makes sense in hindsight, though its first-class passengers enjoyed rather more exclusive admission.

Queen Mary and the limits of patronage

Cunard's Queen Mary tells a different story. Duncan Grant was commissioned to design panels and textiles for the first-class lounge, and the company rejected the work shortly before the ship entered service in 1936. Other artists protested. The decision stood. The lounge sailed without him.

The episode is worth keeping because it complicates the comforting idea that a wealthy patron protects an artist. A commission buys work; it does not buy agreement about what the work should look like. Nor does an artist's reputation guarantee the client will hang it.

There is a direct line from that lounge to a terminal renovation committee. The practical question is usually who has the authority to decide what stays on the wall, and whether the contract gives the artist a voice when that decision changes.

05 THE PLANE AS CANVAS AND THE TERMINAL AS GALLERY

The New Deal hangs a picture

American airport art has deep roots in the federal government's employment of artists during the Depression. Arshile Gorky's ten-panel Newark Airport cycle, Aviation: Evolution of Forms Under Aerodynamic Limitations, was made in 1936–37. James Brooks's Flight, created for LaGuardia's Marine Air Terminal in 1940–42, wrapped the circular hall in a 235-foot history of flying. These were ambitious public commissions.

They were also vulnerable. Much of Gorky's cycle was lost; two surviving panels were later found beneath overpainting. Brooks's mural was covered in 1952 and restored around 1980. What survived owed a great deal to people who looked past the latest coat of paint. The losses were permanent. The paint was institutional beige, which somehow makes it worse.

Calder and a skeptical commissioner

In 1957, Alexander Calder's mobile .125 was installed at Idlewild, later JFK. Its name refers to the thickness of the aluminum: one-eighth of an inch. A major contemporary artist had become part of the arrival experience.

Seattle then made the airport collection a long-term civic project, over some objection. The Port's own history records a commissioner arguing in 1972 that art belonged in a museum rather than a busy airport. That year, according to reporting that quotes curator Tommy Gregory, the Port bought Frank Stella's York Factory A for about $25,000.

Later reporting put its estimated value near $8 million. An estimate cannot promise what a work would fetch, but the contrast makes the old complaint rather enjoyable to revisit. The commissioner was half right. The painting did belong in a museum. The airport became one.

Braniff paints the plane

Braniff International had already made design part of its identity when it hired Alexander Girard and Emilio Pucci in the 1960s. The End of the Plain Plane campaign gave aircraft and interiors a coordinated, highly visible character. In 1973 the airline commissioned Calder to paint a Douglas DC-8. Flying Colors appeared at the 1975 Paris Air Show; a second Calder aircraft celebrated the Bicentennial.

Put the 1973 events side by side. Cincinnati moved railway mosaics into an airport. Braniff put an artist's work onto the aircraft itself. The terminal had become a gallery, and the vehicle had become a canvas again.

The resemblance to Wells Fargo's painted doors is hard to miss. Both companies wanted the passenger to recognize the carrier before reading the name. The work also inherited a familiar weakness: aircraft get repainted and retired. A transport company runs on a timetable, and the timetable is often shorter than the artwork's.

A formula replaces the individual patron

Percent-for-art programs gave many U.S. airports a steadier source of commissions. A designated share of qualifying construction spending supports art; the percentage, the exclusions, and the treatment of maintenance vary by jurisdiction. San Francisco's airport program dates to 1977 and reflects the city's two-percent framework. Denver's public-art program uses a one-percent allocation for qualifying capital projects.

One of Denver's commissions became an unusually complicated civic landmark. Luis Jiménez's 32-foot Mustang was installed in 2008, after the artist died in a 2006 studio accident involving the sculpture. Its blue body and glowing red eyes made it impossible to ignore. Residents supplied the nickname Blucifer, and the horse has never lived it down.

The story is tragic without embellishment. The work's later popularity is instructive anyway. Public art can be loved, mocked, and argued over at the same time. In Denver, the argument has become part of the airport's identity, and the horse now has a maintenance schedule, like a bridge.

06 WHO PAID AND WHAT THEY WERE BUYING

The history resists a tidy march from private money to public money. Venice funded a state ceremony. Railroads bought publicity and lavish interiors. France backed Normandie. The New Deal paid artists to work. Today's airports include publicly owned and privately operated institutions with different financing systems and different cultural ambitions.

What recurs is a patron buying more than an object. The coach advertises reliability. The liner offers status. A mural gives a terminal a local face. Those purposes overlap, and none of them reduces neatly to ticket sales.

PATRON AND EXAMPLE	WHO SUPPLIED THE MONEY	WHAT THE COMMISSION WAS MEANT TO DO
Venice and the Bucintoro	The Republic	Display civic and sovereign power
Wells Fargo and Concord coaches	A commercial carrier	Make its service recognizable and attractive
Santa Fe and its paintings	The railway	Promote destinations and travel
France and Normandie	A shipping line with state support	Sell luxury and represent the country
The New Deal airport murals	The federal government	Employ artists and create public work
Modern U.S. airport collections	Airport revenues, designated capital allocations, gifts, other permitted sources	Improve public space and express local identity

Exhibit 2. Six patrons, six purposes. Positions are our reading of the sources cited below.

What the federal funding rule actually says

The FAA's Airport Improvement Program is a large part of U.S. airport finance. It does not make every cost inside a terminal eligible for reimbursement. Appendix N of its handbook specifically names a large commissioned sculpture as a high-cost ineligible item that must be excluded from the terminal cost-proration calculation. Somebody at the FAA has seen a sculpture budget.

The practical task is to separate the commission's cost from the terminal costs that AIP can support. Other programs have their own rules, including those governing Passenger Facility Charges. Overseas collections run on their own national and local arrangements. There is no single funding rule for all the art in this story.

Common sources for art include airport operating revenues, allocations from qualifying construction budgets, philanthropy, and corporate support. Bond proceeds can finance a commission at the outset; future revenues still have to repay the borrowing. An airport without a percent-for-art ordinance can support a program another permitted way, if it wants to.

The ordinance helps explain why some programs have dependable budgets. It does not explain every collection, and a dependable budget does not by itself produce good art. It produces a dependable amount of art.

07 WHO ACTUALLY BEARS THE COST

The name on the check answers an accounting question. It does not fully answer the economics question. An airport may pay the artist, recover some costs from airlines or tenants, and distribute the rest among passengers, businesses, donors, and the public. The split depends on contracts, market conditions, and where the money came from.

Follow the revenue without assuming the fare

Many large U.S. commercial airports lean heavily on airline charges, parking, concessions, and other airport revenues. Where an art commission is financed inside a terminal project, its debt service may enter the costs recovered through terminal rents. A residual airline agreement can push more of a revenue shortfall onto the participating airlines; other agreements allocate the risk differently.

It does not follow that one dollar of airport art produces one dollar of higher fares. An airline prices seats in a competitive market. It may eat part of a cost, recover it elsewhere, or change service. A concessionaire faces the same choices. Cost per enplanement measures airport costs against departing passengers; it is not a mechanism guaranteeing that the passenger pays every dollar.

Denver has described art funding as coming from airport revenues such as parking, concessions, vendor rents, and landing fees. Airport users and businesses therefore supply the immediate revenues for those commissions. Elsewhere, grants, public capital, and the tax treatment of borrowing may bring broader public support into the account. The answer depends on the project. The honest short version: if you flew, parked, and bought the sandwich, you chipped in.

A million dollars spread over a large audience

Consider an illustrative airport project with a $100 million construction base subject to a one-percent art allocation. The art budget is $1 million. Assume the whole commission is financed over twenty years at five percent, with equal annual debt-service payments and no financing fees.

ILLUSTRATIVE INPUT OR RESULT	AMOUNT
Qualifying construction base	$100,000,000

ILLUSTRATIVE INPUT OR RESULT	AMOUNT
Art allocation at 1 percent	$1,000,000
Annual debt service at 5 percent over 20 years	$80,243
Assumed annual maintenance	$25,000
Total annual debt service and maintenance	$105,243
Assumed annual enplanements	10,000,000
Allocated annual cost per enplanement	$0.0105, about 1.05 cents

Exhibit 3. An illustrative cost allocation, not a forecast of airfare. Inputs are hypothetical.

The table uses a standard fixed-payment loan calculation: add annual maintenance to the debt service, then divide by enplanements. The result is an allocation of cost, not a forecast of airfare, and it leaves out program staff, insurance, relocation, and the question of what else the money could have bought.

The example explains why a visually ambitious commission can be tiny per passenger. It also explains why both sides of the argument can sound reasonable: the object is expensive, and the individual share is a penny. The budget still deserves scrutiny. The annual bill is still about $105,000, even when each passenger's allocated share is only a penny. Multiply that penny by ten million people, though, and you can commission quite a large horse.

The maintenance tail

The purchase price is the beginning. Cleaning, conservation, insurance, mechanical systems, and eventual relocation can be substantial. Michael Singer's Interior Garden at Denver had reportedly needed about $800,000 in upkeep by 2013, when a proposal to remove it ran into opposition. The airport still had an obligation long after the ribbon-cutting.

Cincinnati's mosaics make the same point. Each move meant conservation and heavy engineering. A collection's budget needs to provide for ordinary care and for the day an extraordinary object stands in the way of a new building.

You do not simply buy a work of transport art. You adopt it. The care can run longer than the useful life of the building around it, and the building will not help.

What the artist agrees to

A commission budget is not the artist's take-home pay. Fabrication, engineering, assistants, insurance, and installation may eat most of it. The useful comparison is the fee and risk left with the artist after those obligations, not the headline figure divided by the number of commissions.

Removal rights matter too. The Visual Artists Rights Act gives qualifying artists certain attribution and integrity rights, including protection against specified harmful modifications and against destruction of works of recognized stature. It does not cover every commissioned object. Written waivers, the statutory exclusions, and the rules for works built into buildings can change the outcome.[2]

The general effective date was June 1, 1991, with certain earlier works qualifying if title had not yet passed from the artist. Section 113(d) sets conditions for removing building-incorporated art, including notice and a chance for the artist to remove, or pay to remove, a work that can come out without the specified harm. It is not a

[2] 17 U.S.C. §106A (the Visual Artists Rights Act of 1990) grants a qualifying author of a work of visual art certain rights of attribution and integrity, generally for the author's life. The general effective date is June 1, 1991; earlier works qualify if title had not passed from the artist by that date. Section 113(d) governs the removal of works incorporated into buildings, including notice-and-cure procedures. Section 101 defines “work of visual art” and its statutory exclusions, which are not exhaustively described here.

blanket right to destroy a mural after ninety days. A well-drafted commission settles maintenance, relocation, and removal before the work goes up. That is easier than settling them in court after it comes down.

Two notes for the accountants in the room

The Stella's estimated market value is not necessarily its reported carrying value. GASB permits noncapitalization of qualifying public-service collections that are protected, unencumbered, cared for, and covered by a policy requiring sale proceeds to buy more collection items. Previously capitalized collections generally stay capitalized. Purchased works that are capitalized generally start at historical cost; donated works follow acquisition-value guidance. None of this marks a civic collection up to its latest headline estimate. The balance sheet, unlike the airport commissioner in 1972, has learned not to get too excited. The Port's actual carrying amount is in the Port's records, not this essay.

The charitable-deduction rules also separate the maker from the collector. An artist donating self-created work generally has a deduction limited to adjusted tax basis, which may be small or zero if the costs were already deducted. A collector donating appreciated art held more than a year may qualify for a fair-market-value deduction, subject to the recipient, public or related use, substantiation, and the other applicable limits. A gift to a governmental unit must be exclusively for public purposes.

Compare two donors of a work worth $50,000: its artist, with $1,000 of remaining basis, and a collector who bought it for $20,000 and has held it more than a year. An otherwise qualifying donation generally starts at $1,000 for the artist and potentially $50,000 for the collector. Those are the amounts entering the calculation, not tax savings and not necessarily the deductions ultimately allowed. The gap is wide enough to explain why a collection seeking gifts should know who owns the work, and why the artist should keep the receipt for the canvas.

08 WHY ARTISTS SAY YES

The audience is the obvious answer. A terminal puts an artist's work in front of people who would never seek out a gallery: travelers meeting a city for the first time and employees coming back to the same place every day. We should resist counting every passenger as a viewer. The opportunity is real all the same.

Scale matters too. Richard Wilson's Slipstream at Heathrow runs more than seventy meters through Terminal 2's covered court. The engineering is inseparable from the sculpture. Few patrons can offer that much air, or commission a work designed to fill it.

Commissions also mean paid work in a market where the work comes and goes. Houston's artists-in-residence program adds something beyond a finished object: studio space, a stipend, and direct contact with travelers. A passenger can watch art being made instead of meeting it only after the label goes up.

And there is the chance to say something about home. Vancouver's Indigenous art and Houston's emphasis on Texas talent introduce a place through artists rather than a slogan. Houston also shows national and international work. A strong local identity does not require a closed guest list.

The same opportunities bring tradeoffs. Large audiences invite controversy. Large objects resist relocation. An architect's ideal sightline may later become a security queue. Artists accept a working environment that will change under them, and a good commissioning process says so out loud.

09 THE DEMOCRATIC GALLERY DESERVES AN AUDIT

Airport art reaches a broad audience without charging admission. That is a real achievement. It is not universal access.

In a study published in 2020, Stefan Gössling and Andreas Humpe estimated that roughly eleven percent of the world's population flew in 2018, with at most four percent taking international flights. A busy terminal feels like the whole world. It is a select slice of it.

Access differs inside the airport too. A sculpture before security is open to passengers, workers, and the people meeting a flight. A work beyond security is available only to those entitled to enter that part of the building, and different itineraries open different concourses. There may be no museum ticket. There is often a boarding-pass requirement.

Employees deserve a bigger place in this discussion. Cleaners, screeners, gate agents, and concession staff may pass a work several times a day for years. Their experience of the building matters on its own terms. Like the railway and ship crews before them, they work among the decoration long after the passenger has gone. By hours of exposure, they are the real public, and they have a substantial claim on the quality of their surroundings.

There is a real change from the first-class rooms of the great liners. Much important airport art occupies shared terminal space, open to passengers in every cabin class. That kind of access has precedents in railway stations and on the Underground. The airport has extended that access on an impressive scale; the concourse is where the crowd is.

Public access and public influence are separate questions. Someone chooses which artists represent a place, which histories get wall space, and how much disagreement the collection can bear. Cunard's chairman made that choice in 1936 and gave no reason. A terminal may welcome every passenger while presenting a carefully edited version of home.

Beyond the terminal fence

The public most affected by an airport is not limited to the public using it. Neighbors bear noise, traffic, and other local effects. Art inside a restricted concourse will not reach them, and art is no substitute for dealing with those effects.

There is still a practical question for a civic collection: how much of it can people see without flying? Landside exhibitions, transit connections, loans, and work along the approach roads can widen access where the funding rules allow. Cincinnati's nine mosaics on the convention-center exterior show the value of bringing transport art back to a city sidewalk.

Doha adds a different dimension. Its airport collection, developed with Qatar Museums, presents a state's cultural ambitions to an international audience. To our eye, that recalls the Bucintoro: both use an arrival or a departure to make an impression about the place behind it. The modern passenger is simply more likely to carry a camera, and the modern Doge more likely to want the photo posted.

10 WHY THE WORK DESERVES ATTENTION

There is practical research behind airport art, though the claims should stay proportionate. The Airport Cooperative Research Program's 2020 synthesis describes favorable passenger responses and benefits to the airport environment. Its discussion of increased concession spending draws partly on anecdote and related customer-experience research. It does not establish a reliable causal return for any particular sculpture, and no one should pretend otherwise at the budget meeting.

That still leaves a perfectly good case for the work. Art can give a long corridor a landmark, introduce local culture, and offer something to notice besides the next instruction. Those are worthwhile purposes even when they cannot be converted into a precise uptick in sandwich sales.

The underrating comes partly from habit. We treat airports as wasted time and the building as a problem to solve. The art is there anyway. A child will stop under a moving sculpture long before the accompanying adult notices there is something to look at. Guess which one is the better critic.

An airport does not need to become a museum in every respect. It needs to move people through a complicated place. Art can make that place more humane while the airport gets on with its principal job.

11 A TRAVELER'S RANKING

Skytrax's 2026 top three are Houston, Changi, and Denver. Ours is an editorial judgment about memorability, range, and how well the art inhabits the terminal. It is not a survey, and it does not promise that every work is reachable on every itinerary.

1. **1. Singapore Changi.** Changi treats art as weather. You do not always need to hunt for it; it happens to you. In Terminal 1, Kinetic Rain suspends 1,216 droplets in formations that shift from the abstract to the familiar. People stop. For a moment they forget the clock, which in an airport is close to a miracle.
2. **2. Houston Airport System.** Houston's strength is the program behind the objects. Its collection, rotating exhibitions, artists in residence, and live performances make art a daily service rather than a garnish. The emphasis on Texas gives the collection a home without making it provincial.
3. **3. Doha Hamad International.** Doha went big and stayed playful. Urs Fischer's Untitled (Lamp/Bear) turns a child's stuffed toy and a desk lamp into an enormous painted-bronze encounter, seven meters tall. The bear works because it is faintly absurd.
4. **4. Denver International.** Denver's art has a personality large enough to become folklore. Jiménez's Mustang and Leo Tanguma's murals attract arguments as well as admirers. A terminal collection does not have to please everyone to matter.
5. **5. Amsterdam Schiphol.** Schiphol put an actual museum branch in the terminal. The Rijksmuseum opened there in 2002. Maarten Baas's Schiphol Clock appears to contain a man painting the minutes by hand — an especially good joke in a building where everybody is watching the time.

A few more worth a detour. Vancouver makes a strong first impression with Indigenous art from the Pacific Northwest. San Francisco pairs a public-art collection with museum exhibitions. Heathrow's Slipstream turns the imagined path of a stunt plane into a vast aluminum form. Cincinnati keeps five Reiss mosaics in a place still devoted to moving people. Mumbai's Jaya He collection brings artists and artisans into the terminal together. And Los Angeles International offers a live demonstration of the removal habit this essay keeps circling back to: fifteen illuminated pylons marked the entrance from 2000, and the ring began coming down in January 2026 for a roadway project, with the original designer already sketching the version due back by 2030. The can of paint has become a construction schedule, but the impulse is the same one that stripped the Bucintoro and covered James Brooks in beige.

What deserves watching. The next terminal refurbishment is a better test of a collection than the next awards ceremony. Is there a conservation budget? Can the work move? Does someone still own the problem after the construction crew leaves? For digital and programmable work, preservation also means keeping the software running and replacing obsolete equipment; Changi had to restart Kinetic Rain in 2022. Access deserves equal attention too: more work before security, clearer directions, and a role for employees in the conversation would make existing collections more useful without buying another monumental object.

LOOK UP BEFORE THE NEXT ANNOUNCEMENT

Airports inherited the habit of making travel beautiful, along with the argument about whether the expense is justified. The reasons have varied: prestige, publicity, employment, civic identity, pleasure. So have the patrons. The art has sometimes survived by becoming valuable, sometimes by becoming beloved, and sometimes because one stubborn person refused to let anyone paint over it.

The financial questions belong in the story. A commission needs funding and care. A large potential audience does not excuse a poor purchase. But an estimated resale value is not the whole measure of what a public artwork returns. A passenger's pause and an employee's better working day count for something too, even if neither shows up in the rate base.

Someone made the thing above your head. Someone else decided it deserved a place in a building where most people would be distracted. Next time the board says see agent, look up first. In an airport, thirty honest seconds is almost a gift.

SOURCES AND NOTES

Dates, quantities, and historical prices are stated in nominal terms. The financing and donation examples are illustrative. Collection sizes and access arrangements may change.

Skytrax, World's Best Art in the Airport 2026; Houston Airports, 2025 award announcement and World Class Public Art Program.

Museo Nacional Thyssen-Bornemisza, The Bucintoro, by Canaletto; Museo Storico Navale, Venice, collection notes on the 1729 Bucintoro.

Wells Fargo History, “Our new stagecoaches' head-turning debut”; Journal of San Diego History, January 1962.

Pullman State Historic Site; Smithsonian National Museum of American History, Pullman Palace Car Company Collection; Musée Lalique and Musée des Arts Décoratifs materials on the Côte d'Azur Pullman Express.

Gilcrease Museum; U.S. House History, Art & Archives, Transfer of Thomas Moran Paintings; Yellowstone Forever; National Park Service, A Brief History of Archeology at Yellowstone National Park.

BNSF, “Brush with history: BNSF's art collection tells the story of a nation,” October 23, 2019; Keith L. Bryant Jr. on the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway and the Taos and Santa Fe art colonies. IRC §61 and Treas. Reg. §1.61-2(d) on compensation paid other than in cash.

David Bownes, London Transport Museum, “Going Underground,” September 23, 2021.

CVG, The Winold Reiss Industrial Murals; Cincinnati Museum Center, Art of Union Terminal; Hirschl & Adler; WVXU, October 20, 2016.

White Swan Hotel and accounts of the November 1935 Olympic fittings auction; Metropolitan Museum of Art, History of Navigation Mural, accession 1976.155.

Tate, The Art of Bloomsbury; Victoria and Albert Museum, object O68395; Clive Bell, The Listener, April 8, 1936.

Smithsonian, Arshile Gorky's Newark Airport Murals Rediscovered; Pan Am Historical Foundation; Port Authority, The Marine Air Terminal at LaGuardia and Calder histories of .125.

Port of Seattle, Port-wide One Percent for Art Strategy, 2019; PublicDisplay.ART, November 23, 2024; Smithsonian Air & Space, The End of the Plain Plane; The Henry Ford on Alexander Girard; World Airline Historical Society on the Calder aircraft.

SFO Museum and San Francisco Arts Commission histories; Denver Arts & Venues, Public Art Program; Denver International Airport collection records; Colorado Public Radio, October 28, 2021; Colorado Sun, July 7, 2023.

FAA, AIP Handbook Appendix N, N-7 and Table N-4. Hartsfield-Jackson, FY2025 Rates & Charges; LAWA, Terminals Rates and Charges Methodology; CBS Colorado, 2012 and 2013 reporting on DIA maintenance.

17 U.S.C. §106A, §113(d), §101 (VARA). GASB Statements 34 and 72; North Carolina Office of the State Controller, Collections policy. IRC §170, particularly §§170(c)(1), (e)(1), and (f); IRS Publication 526.

Heathrow, “Richard Wilson's new sculpture Slipstream,” January 21, 2014. Stefan Gössling and Andreas Humpe, “The global scale, distribution and growth of aviation,” Global Environmental Change 65 (2020). National Academies, Visual Arts Programs at Airports, ACRP Synthesis 114, 2020.

Changi Airport Group, “Restarting Kinetic Rain at Terminal 1,” March 1, 2022; ART+COM project history. Christie's, Untitled (Lamp/Bear), Urs Fischer; Hamad International; Qatar Museums.

Rijksmuseum, October 20, 2022; Schiphol museum and Maarten Baas clock descriptions. Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj International Airport, Mumbai, Jaya He GVK New Museum curatorial note. Los Angeles World Airports, “LAX Bids Farewell to Iconic Pylons,” 2026.

IMPORTANT NOTES

This essay is for general information and commentary. It is not tax, legal, investment, or accounting advice. Historical prices, valuations, and collection figures are as reported by the sources cited and have not been independently verified by us; several dollar estimates (the Stella painting, the Interior Garden maintenance figure) are as reported in secondary press accounts and should be treated accordingly.

Questions: Carlos Schmidt, La Presa Partners LLC, carlos@lapresallc.com, (917) 558-6393. © 2026 La Presa Partners LLC. All rights reserved.

* * *

C . A . S .